

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/525,741	03/14/2000	Paul John Griffiths	60130-391	7941
26096	7590 12/26/2001		•	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			EXAMINER	
			ROYAL, PAUL	
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3619	
			DATE MAILED: 12/26/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/525,741	GRIFFITHS, PAUL JOHN				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul Royal	3619				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2001 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension.				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final Office action; or ing date of the final rejection, even if				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 December 2001</u>.37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	vithin the period set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the				
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>08 Augus</u>	<u>t 2001</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)[disapproved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. ☐ Other:						
- Pro	SUI TROPET	SELECT ERROR				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments addressing the Examiner's use of the term "air cell" in conjunction with the prior art Bates were not persuasive.